

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,570		10/24/2003	Judith D. Auslander	F-756	9120
919	7590	04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
PITNEY	BOWES	INC.	SHAH, MANISH S		
35 WATE	RVIEW D	RIVE	To the second se	0.000.000	
P.O. BOX	3000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-2	2		2853		
SHELTON	N, CT 06	484-8000	DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•			$\mathcal{U}$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1-			
	Office Action Summan.	10/692,570	AUSLANDER, JUDITH D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Manish S. Shah	2853				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
• •	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	rity documents have been receiv	•				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
				•			
	•						
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry (# US 5946103) in view of Bauer et al. (# US 6176908).

Curry discloses a method for producing indicium on article including the steps of providing a supply of ink having multi-signal transmission ink which is adapted to provide an optically visual signal when viewed in normal daylight and adapted to provide a different signal which is adapted to be machine readable (see Abstract; column: 6, line: 40-67; column: 7, line: 1-25); and printing at least a portion of the indicium on the article by halftone printing the multi-signal transmission ink, wherein the portion is visually observable as a halftoned signal in normal day light (column: 8, line: 15-60), and wherein the portion is read as a non-halftoned signal by a machine even though the portion is halftone printed (see Abstract; column: 7, line: 20-66).

Curry differs from the claim of the present invention is that the multi-signal transmission ink includes color fluorescent ink, wherein the color fluorescent ink provides an increased percentage of print growth relative to non-fluorescent ink to provide enlarged print growth per pixel.

Art Unit: 2853

Bauer et al. teaches that multi signal transmission ink having red or magenta pigment, polymeric dispersant, a fluorescent dye (multi-signal transmission ink) (see Abstract) which is adapted to provide a different signal which is adapted to machine readable (column: 2, line: 25-46); and printing at least portion of the indicium on the article by drop on demand or continuous printing the multi-signal transmission ink, wherein portion is adapted to be visually observable in normal day light, and the portion is adapted to be read as a non-halftone signal by a machine even though the portion is halftone printed (column: 10, line: 54-67; column: 11, line: 1-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Curry by the aforementioned teaching of Bauer et al. in order to have a high resolution and high gradation printed image.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry (# US 5946103) in view of Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) as applied to claims 1-3, 5 & 9 above, and further in view of Lent et al. (# US 5837042).

Curry and Bauer et al. discloses all the limitation of the method of producing indicium on article except that the fluorescent ink includes a rare earth complex.

Lent et al. teaches that to get the invisible to the unaided eye marking on the print medium, fluorescent ink includes a rare earth metal (see Abstract; column: 4, line: 40-67).

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Curry as modified by the aforementioned teaching of Lent et al. in order to have a invisible to unaided eye printed image.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry (# US 5946103) in view of Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) as applied to claims 1-3, 5 & 9 above, and further in view of Connell et al. (# US 5554842).

Curry and Bauer et al. discloses all the limitation of the method of producing indicium on article except that the fluorescent ink includes phosphorescent ink.

Connell et al. teaches that to get the stable ink composition, the fluorescent ink includes a rare earth metal and phosphorescent compound (see Abstract; column: 7, line: 25-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Curry as modified by the aforementioned teaching of Lent et al. in order to have a invisible to unaided eye printed image.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7 & 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

(1) The steps of printing includes halftone printing with fill of less than 50%, more preferably about 15%.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,570

Art Unit: 2853

570 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

**MSS** 

4/25/06